**Response to Reviewer # 2 Dr. Katia Cezón**

Reviewer Comment

*Also the DOI for the IPT repositori doi:10.15470/voef1n could be included in*

*the Resource citation section.*

Thanks for the suggestion. The GBIF doi was added in the Resource citation. See L30

Reviewer Comment

*I miss a reference or URL for and just miss a citation or URL for the European Red List of Birds (BirdLife International 2015).*

Response

We added the reference to the Reference List. See L 365-66

Reviewer Comment

*I recommend to put Animalia instead of Metazoa (line 179) in the Taxonomic Rank section, just to be coherent with the terminology used in the dataset, recomeded by the standard Darwin Core.*

Response

Done. See L 179

**Response to Eduard Soczs**

Reviewer Comment

*Line 40: '[...] and 55694 measurements recorded'. Be more explicit, 57694 =*

*2\*27847 and point to the valuable data about number of animals and distances. A*

*suggestion: 'A total of 27847 occurrence records were compiled with accompanying*

*measurements on distance to the transect and animal counts.'*

Response:

Done. We include the suggestion made by the reviewer. See L 39-41

Reviewer Comment

*Line 267 and 285: In the text it is stated that the distance to the bird was*

*estimated by eyes. However, in the dataset measurementAccuracy is set to 1m for*

*all observations. I doubt that one can quantify e.g. the diference between 45 and*

*48m distance by eye. Moreover, this will vary with conditions (weather, landscape,*

*etc.) Therefore, please:*

* *reconsider this accuracy value*
* *clarify this limitation in the main text.*

Thanks for the comment. We added two lines in the text to clarify this issue (see L 250-251) and we modified the measurementAccuracy (set to 5 meters) and we updated the darwinCore archive (See GBIF page of the dataset).

Reviewer Comment

*Figure 1b:*

* *The background map cleary shows a elevation map, however, it is not clear*

*to me what the (transparent) color is coding? It looks like habitat type, but*

*this does not match to the legend (e.g. two diferent greens). Please clarify*

*(verbose in the caption or by adding a legend).*

* change 'Km' to 'km' in the scale.

Response:

We used a mask to highlight the protected natural area. Following your suggestion we removed this mask to avoid confusion. A Landsat 5 image (2001) was used as background. We stated this in the figure caption. (See Fig. 1 caption)

We changed to lowercase the “Km” in the scale. See Figure 1.

Reviewer Comment

*Table 2 Add '(m)' to the Elevation column.*

Response:

Done. See Table 2.

**Response to Editor.**

We included an explicit thank to the reviewers in the Acknowledgements section.